

Emergency Medicine Reports

Check out www.EMRonline.com
Available FREE to subscribers

Volume 22, Number 11

May 21, 2001

The patient typically will present with anxiety, shortness of breath, and acute respiratory distress, and the physician will have to respond with prompt, definitive intervention. This is a common scenario for acute exacerbations of chronic asthma, a clinical condition that is routinely managed in the emergency department (ED). Nationwide, it is estimated that more than 17 million people suffer from asthma,¹ a protean condition that includes patients with minor respiratory symptoms as well as individuals on the verge of respiratory collapse.

Nationally, asthma accounts for more than 1.8 million visits to EDs and its incidence exceeds 4% of the United States population.² Despite significant advances in our understanding of the mechanisms and predisposing factors—as well as the introduction of new pharmacotherapeutic options such as leukotriene antagonists—the prevalence and morbidity and mortality rates associated with asthma continue to climb.

Current data show that the annual rate of ED visits for asthma increased by 20% between 1992 and 1995. More alarmingly, the death rate from asthma dramatically increased, with the annual asthma mortality rate increasing 56% from the period 1979-1980 to 1993-1995.² There is some information, however,

suggesting that prevalence rates have stabilized in most populations, except among African-American females.³

From an emergency perspective, the management of asthma has become increasing multi-modal, and requires that the emergency physician become familiar with a wide range of pharmacologic options, among them, inhaled and systemic corticosteroids, beta-agonists,

leukotriene antagonists, and other agents. Traditionally, emergency and primary care physicians relied heavily on beta-agonists as the drugs of choice for treatment of asthma exacerbations. With advances in molecular biology and a more detailed understanding of the inflammatory pathways that are responsible for bronchoconstriction, however, new approaches have proven successful for reducing airway reactivity both for chronic

maintenance therapy and acute exacerbations.

With these issues in focus, this clinical review provides an update of new developments in asthma management. Typical features of the clinical presentation are discussed, and signs and symptoms that help identify patients with acute asthma exacerbations and that differentiate asthma from other pulmonary processes are summarized. A concise, practical framework is offered for

The Clinical Challenge of Acute Asthma: Diagnosis, Disposition, and Outcome-Effective Management: Year 2001 Update

Authors: Brian Cullison, MD, Department of Emergency Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Foundation and MetroHealth Medical Center, Cleveland, OH, Case Western Reserve University; Charles Emerman, MD, Chairman, Department of Emergency Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Foundation and MetroHealth Medical Center, Cleveland, OH, Case Western Reserve University.

Peer Reviewer: Keith Wrenn, MD, Professor, Department of Emergency Medicine, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN.

EDITOR IN CHIEF

Gideon Bosker, MD, FACEP
Special Clinical Projects and Medical Education Resources
Assistant Clinical Professor
Section of Emergency Services
Yale University School of Medicine
Associate Clinical Professor
Oregon Health Sciences University

EDITORIAL BOARD

Paul S. Auerbach, MD, MS, FACEP
Clinical Professor of Surgery
Division of Emergency Medicine
Department of Surgery
Stanford University School of Medicine
Stanford, California

Brooks F. Bock, MD, FACEP

Dayanandan Professor and Chairman
Department of Emergency Medicine
Detroit Receiving Hospital
Wayne State University
Detroit, Michigan

William J. Brady, MD, FACEP, FAAEM

Vice Chairman of Emergency Medicine and Associate Professor
Department of Emergency Medicine,
Associate Professor of Internal Medicine and Program Director of Emergency Medicine Residency
Department of Internal Medicine
University of Virginia School of Medicine
Charlottesville, Virginia

Kenneth H. Butler, DO

Associate Residency Director
University of Maryland Emergency Medicine Residency Program
University of Maryland School of Medicine
Baltimore, Maryland

Michael L. Coates, MD, MS

Professor and Chair
Department of Family and Community Medicine
Wake Forest University School of Medicine
Winston-Salem, North Carolina

Alasdair K.T. Conn, MD

Chief of Emergency Services
Massachusetts General Hospital
Boston, Massachusetts

Charles L. Emerman, MD

Chairman
Department of Emergency Medicine
The Cleveland Clinic Foundation
Cleveland, Ohio

Frederic H. Kauffman, MD, FACEP

Associate Professor of Medicine
Temple University School of Medicine
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Kurt Kleinschmidt, MD, FACEP

Assistant Professor
University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas
Associate Director
Department of Emergency Medicine
Parkland Memorial Hospital
Dallas, Texas

David A. Kramer, MD, FACEP

Program Director,
Associate Professor
Emergency Medicine Residency
York Hospital/Penn State University
York, Pennsylvania

Larry B. Mellick, MD, MS, FAAP, FACEP

Chair and Professor
Department of Emergency Medicine
Section Chief, Pediatric Emergency Medicine
Medical College of Georgia
Augusta, Georgia

Paul E. Pepe, MD, MPH, FACEP, FCCM

Professor and Chairman
Division of Emergency Medicine
University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center
Dallas, Texas

Robert Powers, MD, MPH, FACP, FACEP

Chief and Professor,
Emergency Medicine
University of Connecticut
School of Medicine
Farmington, Connecticut

David J. Robinson, MD, MS

Research Director and Assistant Professor
Department of Emergency Medicine
The University of Texas Houston Medical Center,
Director, Diagnostic Observation Center
Memorial Hermann Hospital
Houston, Texas

Steven G. Rothrock, MD, FACEP, FAAP

Associate Professor of Emergency Medicine
University of Florida College of Medicine,
Department of Emergency Medicine
Orlando Regional Medical Center
Orlando, Florida

Barry H. Rumaek, MD

Director, Emeritus
Rocky Mountain Poison and Drug Center
Clinical Professor of Pediatrics
University of Colorado
Health Sciences Center
Denver, Colorado

Richard Salluzzo, MD, FACEP

Chief Executive Officer and Chief Medical Officer
Conemaugh Health System
Johnstown, Pennsylvania

Sandra M. Schneider, MD

Professor and Chair
Department of Emergency Medicine
University of Rochester School of Medicine
Rochester, New York

John A. Schriver, MD

Chief, Section of Emergency Medicine
Yale University School of Medicine
New Haven, Connecticut

David Sklar, MD, FACEP

Professor and Chair
Department of Emergency Medicine
University of New Mexico School of Medicine
Albuquerque, New Mexico

Corey M. Slovis, MD, FACP, FACEP

Professor and Chairman
Department of Emergency Medicine
Vanderbilt University School of Medicine,
Medical Director
Metro Nashville EMS
Nashville, Tennessee

J. Stephan Stapczynski, MD

Professor and Chairman
Department of Emergency Medicine
University of Kentucky Medical Center
Lexington, Kentucky

Charles E. Stewart, MD, FACEP

Emergency Physician
Colorado Springs, Colorado

David A. Talan, MD, FACEP

Chairman and Professor of Medicine
UCLA School of Medicine
Department of Emergency Medicine
Olive View/UCLA Medical Center
Los Angeles, California

Albert C. Wehl, MD

Program Director
Emergency Medicine Residency
Assistant Professor of Medicine and Surgery
Department of Surgery
Section of Emergency Medicine
Yale University School of Medicine

Steven M. Winograd, MD, FACEP

Attending Physician
Department of Emergency Medicine,
Allegan General Hospital,
Allegan, Michigan;
Southwestern Michigan Emergency Services, PC

Allan B. Wolfson, MD, FACEP, FACEP

Program Director,
Affiliated Residency in Emergency Medicine
Professor of Emergency Medicine
University of Pittsburgh
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

identifying and stratifying asthma episodes by severity and initiating prompt, appropriate treatment. Finally, because the therapeutic landscape is changing so rapidly, this article will update the emergency physician about optimal treatment strategies for the management of acute and chronic asthma.

— The Editor

Introduction

Definition and Epidemiology. Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disorder resulting in widespread narrowing of the airways; it is characterized by the clinical symptoms of wheezing, dyspnea, chest discomfort, and cough. (See Table 1.) Typically, the condition leads to airway hyper-reactivity which occurs in response to a variety of nonspecific stimuli, and presents as a reversible or variable airway obstruction. The lung parenchyma remains normal, although some degree of sub-basement membrane fibrosis may occur.⁴

In the United States, asthma accounts for more than 470,000 hospitalizations annually, and more than 5,000 people die from

exacerbations of asthma each year. Hospitalization rates are highest among African-Americans and children, with death rates highest among African-Americans between the ages of 15 and 24.⁵ Asthma is the most common chronic disease of childhood, affecting more than 5.3 million children.¹

Worldwide, the prevalence of asthma is increasing. Furthermore, studies have shown that asthma is more common in Western countries and less common in developing countries. Its prevalence increases in developing countries as they become more Westernized or communities become more urbanized.⁶ In the United States alone, the costs associated with asthma exceed \$6.2 billion, with 43% of these expenditures resulting from ED visits, hospitalizations, and death.⁷

Risk Factors. Traditionally, risk factors for asthma mortality have been thought to include a history of sudden, severe exacerbations, prior intubations, and admission to an intensive care unit (ICU). Additionally, use of more than two canisters per month of a short-acting beta-2 agonist, current systemic corticosteroid use, difficulty with perceiving airway obstruction, and medical or psychiatric co-morbidity have been linked to an increased risk of death from asthma. Certainly, not all patients meeting these criteria require ICU placement or admission. However, physicians should take these aspects of the history into account, along with the response to ED treatment when making a disposition decision.

Inner-city children and adults share a disproportionately high risk for asthma-related morbidity and mortality.⁸ Epidemiological studies have highlighted several contributing factors: lack of insurance and decreased immunization rate, lack of access to quality health care, and an indoor/outdoor environment with exposure to triggers such as dust, pollen, smoke, and pollution.⁹ Additionally, studies have pointed to a possible causal relationship between the use of illicit drugs (particularly inhaled cocaine and heroin) and asthma.^{10,11} At the molecular level, research into the genetics of asthma has unveiled several candidate genes that may contribute to asthma susceptibility.¹²⁻¹⁴

Clinical Pathophysiology

Mechanisms causing airway obstruction in asthma are multifactorial, and not completely understood. As an intrinsic airway disease, obstruction is due to an abnormality in the airway itself, as contrasted with extrinsic disease such as emphysema. In emphysema and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), obstruction results, in part, from loss of external support of the airways, as well as from airway reactivity. The principal response to airway inflammation is partial obstruction of the airway lumen, which becomes obstructed by excessive secretions; alternatively, the airway wall thickens from edema and smooth muscle hypertrophy. Airway inflammation results in the release of mediator factors that produce the hallmark features of asthma: airway edema, epithelial injury, and infiltration by leukocytes (particularly eosinophils). Small decreases in overall airway diameter manifest as significant clinical changes in ventilation. These changes can easily be measured with spirometry or flow volume curves.

Current research suggests that the increased airway reactivity and inflammation in individuals with asthma results from a predis-

Emergency Medicine Reports™ (ISSN 0746-2506) is published biweekly by American Health Consultants, 3525 Piedmont Road, N.E., Six Piedmont Center, Suite 400, Atlanta, GA 30305. Telephone: (800) 688-2421 or (404) 262-7436.

Vice President/Group Publisher: Brenda Mooney

Editorial Group Head: Valerie Loner

Managing Editor: Suzanne Zunic

Marketing Manager: Schandale Kornegay

GST Registration No.: R128870672

Periodical postage paid at Atlanta, GA. **POSTMASTER:** Send address changes to *Emergency Medicine Reports*, P.O. Box 740059, Atlanta, GA 30374.

Copyright © 2001 by American Health Consultants, Atlanta, GA. All rights reserved. Reproduction, distribution, or translation without express written permission is strictly prohibited.

Back issues: \$26. Missing issues will be fulfilled by customer service free of charge when contacted within one month of the missing issue's date.

Multiple copy prices: One to nine additional copies, \$303 each; 10 to 20 additional copies, \$270 each.

Accreditation

Emergency Medicine Reports™ continuing education materials are sponsored and supervised by American Health Consultants. American Health Consultants designates this continuing education activity for up to 52 hours in Category 1 credit toward the AMA Physician's Recognition Award. Each physician should claim only those hours of credit that he/she actually spent in the educational activity.

This CME activity was planned and produced in accordance with the ACCME Essentials.

Emergency Medicine Reports™ also is approved by the American College of Emergency Physicians for 52 hours of ACEP Category 1 credit and has been approved for 52 Category 2B credit hours by the American Osteopathic Association. Emergency Medicine

AMERICAN HEALTH CONSULTANTS
★
THOMSON HEALTHCARE

Statement of Financial Disclosure

In order to reveal any potential bias in this publication, and in accordance with Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education guidelines, we disclose that Dr. Emerman (author) is on the speaker's bureau for Pfizer, Cor, and Scios. Drs. Cullison (author) and Wrenn (peer reviewer) report no consultant, stockholder, speaker's bureau, research, or other financial relationships with companies having ties to this field of study. Dr. Bosker (editor) is on the speaker's bureau for Pfizer, Rhone-Poulenc Rorer, and Parke-Davis. Dr. Bosker also acknowledges that he receives royalties, commissions, and other compensation relating to the sale of textbooks, reprints of articles, and other written materials to the following pharmaceutical companies: Pfizer, Genentech, Aventis, Pharmacia, and Bayer.

Subscriber Information

Customer Service: 1-800-688-2421

Customer Service E-Mail: customerservice@ahcpub.com

Editorial E-Mail: valerie.loner@ahcpub.com

World Wide Web page: <http://www.ahcpub.com>

Subscription Prices

1 year with 52 ACEP/AMA/52 AAFP

Category 1/Prescribed credits

(52 AOA Category 2B credits): \$462

1 year without credit: \$337

Resident's rate \$168

All prices U.S. only.

U.S. possessions and Canada, add \$30 plus applicable GST. Other international orders, add \$30.

Reports has been reviewed by the American Academy of Family Physicians as having educational content acceptable for Prescribed credit hours. This volume has been approved for up to 52 Prescribed credit hours. Term of approval covers issues published within one year from the beginning distribution date of 1/00. Credit may be claimed for one year from the date of this issue. American Health Consultants (AHC) is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

This is an educational publication designed to present scientific information and opinion to health professionals, to stimulate thought, and further investigation. It does not provide advice regarding medical diagnosis or treatment for any individual case. It is not intended for use by the layman. Opinions expressed are not necessarily those of this publication. Mention of products or services does not constitute endorsement. Clinical, legal, tax, and other comments are offered for general guidance only; professional counsel should be sought for specific situations.

For Customer Service and CME questions,

Please call our customer service department at (800) 688-2421. For editorial questions or comments, please contact Valerie Loner, Editorial Group Head, at valerie.loner@ahcpub.com or (404) 262-5475.

Table 1. Differential Diagnosis in Asthma

ADULTS

- Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)
- Foreign body aspiration
- Allergic reaction
- Congestive heart failure (CHF)
- Neoplasms
- Toxic inhalation
- Tracheal stenosis

PEDIATRICS

- Tracheobronchitis
- Foreign body aspiration
- Tracheomalacia
- Bronchopulmonary dysplasia
- Cystic fibrosis
- Allergic reaction

posing event early in life. Consequently, the patient develops airway hyper-reactivity, which is precipitated by the release of inflammatory mediators when the patient is exposed to specific trigger factors. Many stimuli have been shown to cause increases in airway responsiveness, including viral upper respiratory tract infections, irritant gases, and inhaled antigens.

Once airways are primed with heightened reactivity, environmental exposures or certain stimuli cause symptomatic bronchoconstriction. Specific trigger factors that precipitate asthma exacerbations include inhaled antigens, exercise, cold air, irritant gases, dust, animal dander, beta-blockers, metabisulfite, cigarette smoke, emotional stress, and aspirin.¹⁵ Up to 15% of asthmatics will experience bronchoconstriction when exposed to aspirin; cross reactivity with other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) also may occur. Given the high relapse rate following treatment for acute asthma, it may be helpful to educate patients about these asthma triggers.

Clinical Features

Phases of Asthma Exacerbations. Clinically, patients experience an early or immediate response, and a late or delayed response. In the early response, degranulation of mast cells from IgE-antigen binding to mast cells leads to bronchial smooth muscle contraction and mucous hypersecretion. This phase of the response lasts 1-2 hours and is the target of initial, acute interventions with beta-agonists in the ED.

The delayed phase begins simultaneously and manifests clinically 4-6 hours after exposure to the allergen, but can last for several more hours. Granulocytes, and especially eosinophils, infiltrate the airway wall. There is associated airway hyperresponsiveness and increased bronchial constriction. Steroids and leukotriene antagonists are used to diminish the late phase of exacerbations.

History. Components of the history that may be important for evaluating the patient with asthma are listed in Table 2. Primary questioning should focus on determining risk factors for severe asthma exacerbations and for death, while simultaneously trying to identify, if possible, a precipitant for the attack. Specific points include the extent of the patient's disease, a medication history, current or previous use of steroids, and ICU admissions or a histo-

Table 2. Evaluation of the Patient with Asthma: History

GENERAL

- Age
- Gender

MEDICATION USE AND COMPLIANCE

- Steroids
- Leukotriene antagonists
- Theophylline

MEDICAL HISTORY

- Cardiovascular disease

PAST EPISODES

- ICU admissions
- Number of emergency department visits in last year
- Any history of pneumothorax

CURRENT EPISODE

- Onset
- Time course (crescendo pattern)
- Characterization of symptoms
 - Dyspnea
 - Fever
 - Chest tightness
 - Wheezing
 - Cough or sputum production
- Prior treatment
- Recent upper respiratory infection (URI) symptoms
- Occupational exposures

FAMILY HISTORY

- Other family members with asthma
- Other pulmonary disease
- History of atopy (eczema, dermatitis)

SOCIAL HISTORY

- Tobacco history or second-hand exposure
- Drug history, especially inhaled drugs/inhalants

ry of intubations for asthma. Of special note is the finding that a history of ICU admissions and/or intubations are risk factors for respiratory failure.⁶³

A typical triad of presenting symptoms in a patient with an asthma exacerbation includes cough, wheezing, and dyspnea, although all three may not be present. The patient also may experience feelings of tightness in the chest. Increased symptoms at night are common, with coughing or wheezing waking the patient from sleep. Children, in particular, can experience increased nocturnal symptoms because their airway patency decreases at night.

Patients with longstanding disease often can communicate their subjective impression of the severity of their current episode, along with the time course of previous episodes. However, studies also have shown that up to 15% of asthma patients, 24-27% of elderly patients, and many patients who had near-fatal asthma exacerbations could not perceive their severity of obstruction.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ For reasons that are not fully explained, men tend to underestimate the severity of bronchoconstriction.

Physical Examination. Physical examination of the asthmatic includes rapid evaluation of the patient's general appearance, including anxiety level, mental status, and the level of respiratory

Table 3. Evaluation of the Patient with Asthma: Important Aspects of the Physical Examination

GENERAL APPEARANCE

- Anxiety
- Mental status
- Level of respiratory distress:
 - Retractions
 - Accessory muscle use

VITAL SIGNS

- Temperature
- Heart rate
- Respiratory rate
- Pulse oximetry
- Blood pressure (pulsus paradoxus)

PULMONARY

- Hyperresonance
- Prolonged expiratory phases (increased I:E)
- Air flow
- Wheeze distribution
- Any focal findings

ASSOCIATED FINDINGS

- Ear, nose, and throat (increased nasal secretions, sinusitis, mucosal swelling)
- Cardiac (S₃, S₄, diffuse apical impulse, murmurs)
- Skin (dermatitis, eczema, atopy, urticaria)
- Extremities (edema, cyanosis, clubbing)
- Upper airway (inspiratory stridor, localized wheeze, dysphonia)
- Lymphadenopathy

distress. (See Table 2.) Altered mental status, severely labored breathing, and accessory muscle use are signs of impending or actual respiratory failure. Tachypnea and tachycardia commonly occur, but are poorly correlated with disease severity.

Most exacerbations begin with expiratory wheezing, but with more severe bronchoconstriction this can progress to both inspiratory and expiratory wheezing. Air movement may range from mildly decreased to almost absent. When a patient develops severely diminished airflow, wheezing becomes diminished or disappears entirely. Wheezing also may be absent in patients with mild asthma. The variability of physical findings suggests the need for objective measurements of pulmonary function.

Children may demonstrate nasal flaring or intercostal and suprasternal retractions in serious asthma exacerbations. In children, it is common for an exacerbation to begin with a cough that progresses to wheezing, tachypnea, and dyspnea. With severe obstruction, the child may assume a hunched-over, tripod-like sitting position with hyperexpansion of the thorax. In addition, the child may complain of abdominal pain, presumably due to the strenuous use of abdominal muscles and the diaphragm during an exacerbation. With a severe obstruction, the increased work of breathing may cause diaphoresis.

In general, although physical findings can help approximate patient acuity, several studies have shown that a physician's examination is neither accurate in assessing the degree of airway obstruction¹⁹ nor for predicting whether the obstruction is reversible.²⁰

Pulse oximetry has shown promise for monitoring the severity of acute exacerbations, although the literature is inconclusive as to its utility in predicting the course of asthma attacks.²¹ In the pediatric population, decreased oxygen saturation often is an early sign of severe airway obstruction and does predict the need for hospitalization.²² A pulse oximetry of less than 91% usually implies a severe attack.

Several authors have studied cumulative asthma scores, consisting of weighed aspects of the patient's history and physical findings as tools to predict the course of an exacerbation.²³⁻²⁵ Although the precise clinical benefit of asthma scores has yet to be demonstrated, studies to date have shown no improvement in predicting outcome when compared with physician judgment.

Pulmonary Function Testing. Measurements of peak expiratory flow rates (PEFR) provide a simple, reliable, objective modality for assessing the respiratory status of patients with asthma, as well as the efficacy of treatment.²⁶ A PEFR is easily obtained on inexpensive equipment, can quantify the degree of diminished airflow, and provides a reproducible measure of the severity of airflow obstruction.

Overall, FEV₁ and PEFR measured by spirometry more accurately predict severity of the exacerbation when compared with physician physical examination. Consequently, most guidelines for asthma management focus on the percent of predicted pulmonary function as the most reliable means of stratifying the severity of episodes.²⁷ Predicted values vary by race, age, gender, and height. Inexpensive spring loaded peak flow meters are inaccurate in their midrange and tend to lose accuracy after several hundred uses. The PEFR is more effort-dependent than measurement of FEV₁. The advantage of measuring FEV₁ by spirometry is that it provides a graphic record, allows comparison to baseline studies from the pulmonary function lab, and is less effort-dependent. In addition, the spirometer is easily calibrated.

In the ED, the initial FEV₁ or PEFR is helpful for risk-stratifying patients into various categories of airway obstruction. Repeat assessment after treatment is important to monitor progress and guide the aggressiveness of therapy. Values of less than 30% of predicted point to an increased likelihood of significant hypoxemia or hypercarbia.²⁸ Most patients with a pre-discharge PEFR of less than 50% of predicted value after treatment and observation should be admitted or observed.

Diagnostic Studies. Arterial blood gas (ABG) analysis is not usually performed, but it can help assess the degree of respiratory compromise when intubation is being considered. Importantly, as a screening tool neither pretreatment nor post treatment ABG values correlate strongly with pulmonary function testing, and neither is useful for predicting the course of an exacerbation²⁹ although an FEV₁ or PEFR of less than 30% of predicted values may indicate significant hypercarbia or hypoxemia. (See Tables 3 and 4.)

Typically, chest radiographs show treatable abnormalities in a small percentage of patients and are not routinely indicated. Hyperinflation occurs during acute attacks, but may become chronic if airway obstruction is longstanding. The chest x-ray may provide additional information and help narrow the differential in patients with hypoxia, fever, focal lung findings, or symp-

Table 4. Laboratory Testing for Asthma

PULMONARY FUNCTION (PEFR or FEV₁)
Initially and after treatment in all patients
CHEST RADIOGRAPH
Consider if focal findings or signs of pneumonia (fever, purulent sputum) are present, or if uncertain of diagnosis
ELECTROCARDIOGRAM
Not routinely indicated; May consider for patients with known cardiac history
COMPLETE BLOOD COUNT
Not routinely indicated
ELECTROLYTES
Not routinely indicated
THEOPHYLLINE LEVEL
Prior to bolus administration of aminophylline or tachyarrhythmias in patient on therapy
ARTERIAL BLOOD GASES
Very severe obstruction that is not responsive to therapy or during mechanical ventilation

toms that do not improve with bronchodilator therapy.

Complete blood count (CBC) is rarely helpful, although eosinophilia and/or leukocytosis are typical findings in asthma exacerbations. Leukocytosis alone represents a nonspecific finding, especially since beta-agonist administration and corticosteroids can cause white cell de-margination. For patients taking theophylline preparations, and especially in elderly patients, theophylline levels should be obtained prior to bolus administration of aminophylline. Patients older than age 60 demonstrate decreased clearance of the drug, and have a 16-fold increased risk for theophylline toxicity.³⁰ Theophylline toxicity usually occurs as serum levels exceed 20 mcg/mL particularly in patients with preexisting neurologic or cardiac disease.³¹

Patient Management

The primary, initial pharmacological treatment for acute asthma is beta-agonist therapy, usually by inhalation, although other routes are sometimes used. A number of agents are available, each of which possesses varying degrees of beta selectivity. It should be emphasized that beta-agonists also are available in oral formulation, but that this route is not indicated for initial stabilization in the ED. (See Tables 5 and 6.)

Beta-Agonists. Two agents generally are used for parenteral beta-agonist treatment, epinephrine and terbutaline. In the past, these drugs commonly were administered by subcutaneous injection. However, a series of investigations have demonstrated that inhaled beta-agonists are as effective as subcutaneously injected agents. On occasion, epinephrine and terbutaline still are used for patients with acute asthma, particularly in patients who have very severe obstructions or for those who cannot or will not tolerate nebulizers. There is only limited evidence suggesting that patients who do not respond to inhaled agents will have a subsequent response to injected epinephrine.³² Intravenous administration of beta-adrener-

gic agents is common in some countries, although its use in the United States primarily has been in the pediatric population.

Several studies have compared intravenous with nebulized albuterol in patients with either respiratory failure or very severe airway obstruction. These trials have suggested that intravenous administration is associated with a higher incidence of side effects, without producing significant clinical advantages.^{33,34}

As mentioned, there are a variety of agents available for inhalation, each varying with respect to beta-2 selectivity and duration of action. The onset of action of such agents as terbutaline, isoetharine, metaproterenol, and albuterol is very rapid.³⁵ Isoetharine, in particular, produces significant bronchodilatation within 10 minutes of administration but it must be diluted to avoid reactions to the preservative agent, bisulfite. There is no convincing evidence suggesting the superiority of one of agent over the other in terms of efficacy or safety, although there have been some reports suggesting that isoetharine has a greater incidence of side effects than albuterol.³ Moreover, the extended duration of action of agents such as fenoterol and albuterol may lead to some benefits over isoetharine.²⁸ Although salmeterol is effective for maintenance therapy, it should not be used for the treatment of acute exacerbations of asthma, and patients should be cautioned not to use salmeterol for home rescue therapy.

Beta-agonists can be given by metered dose inhaler with a spacer, small-volume nebulization, or dry powder inhalation. These variable routes of administration lead to similar degrees of airway improvement, assuming they are used properly.³⁷⁻³⁹ The advantage of using either dry powder or a metered dose inhaler with a spacer is that it reinforces patient education about proper medication administration.

There may be differences in the personnel cost to set up nebulizers or monitor a patient's use of metered dose inhalers, which may vary between institutions.⁴⁰ The efficiency of drug delivery by nebulization can be enhanced by using an acorn type nebulizer, diluting the drug to a 3 mL volume, and driving the ventilator with a 6-8 L/min flow rate from either a compressed air source or wall oxygen outlet.⁴¹

Intermittent positive-pressure breathing (IPPB) treatments have fallen out of favor, although occasionally there are patients who may receive a modest benefit from this treatment.⁴² There does not seem to be any advantage when comparing specific metered dose inhalation with a spacer, tri-powder inhalation, intermittent nebulization, or continuous nebulization in adults.⁴³ Albuterol is given by continuous nebulization in some centers. While some studies have found an advantage to continue infusion, others have either not demonstrated a difference or found that continuous nebulization led to a higher incidence of side effects.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ There may be cost saving with respect to personnel time with one method or the other, depending on the institution.

The National Asthma Education Prevention Program (NAEPP) recommends the following dosing schedule for albuterol: 2.5 mg administered every 20-30 minutes, increasing to doses of 5 mg every 20-30 minutes for patients with severe obstruction. Although recommended, there is little evidence to support the superiority of this dosing scheme.⁴⁷ In children, repeated, low

doses of albuterol lead to less fluctuation in FEV₁ over the course of several hours compared to larger, hourly doses.⁴⁸ In another pediatric study, higher dose albuterol (0.15 mg/kg) lead to greater bronchodilation compared to lower dose albuterol (0.05 mg/kg).⁴⁹ Studies in adults have found that higher dose treatment does not substantially improve bronchodilation over lower dose treatment but that it does lead to a higher incidence of side effects.^{50,51}

While these studies suggest that the method of administration may not be critical, recent studies suggest that two factors may determine initial response to albuterol: initial response and the cumulative dose of albuterol. Some studies have found that patients who do not respond to an initial administration of 5-7.5 mg of albuterol will not respond to further dose increases and require hospital admission.⁵² Dose ranging studies suggest that a cumulative dose of between 5-7.5 mg of albuterol results in adequate bronchodilation in patients who will be responsive to ED therapy.⁵³⁻⁵⁶ Patients who do not respond to these doses require hospital admission. On an equivalent basis, it appears that administration of 2.4 mg of albuterol by metered dose inhaler produces adequate bronchodilation.⁵⁴ It is unclear whether these threshold doses of albuterol should be administered by repeated nebulization every 20 minutes or whether administration of a single, large dose of albuterol will lead to equivalent bronchodilation.

These studies, which are consistent with recommendations of the NAEPP, concluded that patients who are going to respond to albuterol will do so relatively early in their ED course, usually within the first hour in most cases. Conversely, failure to respond to the initial courses of albuterol suggests that the patient will have continued bronchoconstriction for hours to days, unless other therapies are employed.

Albuterol is a mixture of two enantiomers, the R form and the S form, of which only the R form is active as a bronchodilator.⁵⁷ In laboratory studies, the S enantiomer of albuterol can increase bronchial reactivity.⁵⁸ The R enantiomer alone is commercially available, as levalbuterol and has been approved for patients with chronic, stable asthma. In that patient population, it has been demonstrated to be at least as safe and efficacious as racemic albuterol.^{59,60} Levalbuterol has not yet been approved for acute asthma. A pilot study has been reported in abstract form that suggests levalbuterol is safe and efficacious for the treatment of acute asthma.⁶¹ Some authors have questioned the superiority of levalbuterol over racemic albuterol for acute asthma, raising concerns that the recommended dose for chronic, stable asthma may be inadequate for patients with acute asthma.⁶² A large, multicenter trial currently is under way to test the hypothesis that levalbuterol is superior to racemic albuterol for the treatment of acute asthma.

Oxygen Therapy. Most patients with acute asthma do not require supplemental oxygen therapy. However, patients with very severe airway obstruction, significant respiratory distress, chronic underlying cardiopulmonary disease, or hemoglobin desaturation may require oxygen supplementation. Pulse oximetry, in conjunction with pulmonary function testing, can aid in the decision to administer oxygen. The normal pulse oximetry should be above 94-95%. Treatment with beta-agonists may modestly decrease the oxygen saturation, as a result of an

increase in ventilation perfusion mismatch.

Studies in pediatric patients have suggested that a pulse oximetry of less than 91% after treatment may indicate the need for admission.⁶³ It has been commonly thought that younger asthmatic patients do not develop hypercarbia as a result of 100% oxygen administration such as may occur in patients with COPD. Recent evidence, however, suggests that very severely obstructed asthmatic patients may develop modest degrees of hypercarbia with 100% oxygen administration.⁶⁴

Corticosteroids. Corticosteroids have been recommended for patients who do not respond to a first course of albuterol. Inasmuch as asthma is an inflammatory disease, administration of corticosteroids to ED patients with an asthma exacerbation has become routine. Interestingly, this practice is based on the results of a few selected studies, many of which were small and single-center in design. Some studies have found that steroids have no effect on the ED course of acute asthma.^{65,66} Others have found that steroid administration decreases the hospitalization rate, although it does not always do so in conjunction with an improvement in airway obstruction.^{67,68} Two recent meta-analyses have been performed on the acute effects of steroid administration.^{69,70} These meta-analyses reflect that most of the studies have been done with small sample sizes, and that the evidence that corticosteroids acutely improve pulmonary function is less than compelling.

On the other hand, other studies have found that short courses of steroids improve pulmonary function and limit the risk of relapse following acute asthma treatment. In one trial, an eight-day tapering course of steroids markedly decreased the need for a repeat ED visit.⁷¹ In another investigation, a short course of prednisone decreased the relapse rate, lowered dyspnea scores, and reduced beta-agonist use for three weeks after the ED visit.⁷² While these two studies used eight-day courses of tapering steroids, another author found no difference in the relapse rate in patients who had an eight-day course of steroids followed by either a one- or seven-week taper.⁷³ Other studies, however, have shown that a taper may not be needed in patients who are not chronically steroid dependent.⁷⁴ Others also have demonstrated that there does not appear to be a rebound effect with acute deterioration of lung function when steroids are abruptly discontinued.⁷⁵

In the past, steroids routinely were administered parenterally. It appears now that oral prednisone in doses of 40-60 mg is as efficacious as intravenous methylprednisolone, and that there are no differences in either hospitalization rates or improvements in pulmonary function.^{76,77} Steroids have been administered in a variety of doses, and different types of steroids used. There does not appear to be a clearcut benefit for very high doses of steroids, although a few studies have demonstrated that very low doses may not be useful.⁷⁸⁻⁸³ Children may not like the taste of oral steroids and, as a result, may benefit from long-acting intramuscular injections of methylprednisolone. This route of administration also has been demonstrated to be beneficial for adults.⁸⁴

Some trials have found that inhaled steroids can be useful during the ED treatment of asthma.⁸⁵ Although these studies are small, they have shown that patients who are treated with inhaled steroids have improved pulmonary function over the course of

Table 5. Drugs for Acute Management of Asthma

DRUG	ROUTE	DOSE	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES
ADRENERGIC				
Epinephrine	SQ	0.3-0.5 mg repeated q20 min to 1 mg	Does not require patient cooperation	Pain at injection site, Needle exposure
BETA ADRENERGIC				
Terbutaline	SQ	0.25-0.5 mg repeated q30 min to total of 0.5 mg over 4 hours	Does not require patient cooperation	Pain at injection site, Needle exposure
	MDI	200 mcg/spray 2 inhalations q20 min	Mimics home therapy	Staff time to demonstrate proper use
Albuterol	Nebulization	0.5 cc in 3-6 cc normal saline q20 min	Duration of action 4-6 hr	Requires nebulizer setup
	MDI	90 mcg/spray 1-2 puffs q20 min	Mimics home therapy	Should be used with a spacer
Metaproterenol	Nebulization	0.3 cc in 3-6 cc normal saline	Duration of action 4-6 hr	Less B ₂ specific than albuterol
	MDI	1-2 puffs q20 min 0.65 mg per actuation	Duration of action 4-6 hr	Less B ₂ specific than albuterol
Pirbuterol	MDI	0.2 mg/actuation 1-2 puffs q20 min	Maximum onset of action 30-60 minutes	Only available as MDI
Bitolterol	MDI	370 mcg/spray 1-2 puffs q20 min	Rapid onset of action, duration 8 hours	Only available as MDI
STEROIDS				
Prednisone	Oral	Typically 40-60 mg po	Inexpensive	Hours for onset of action
Methyl-prednisolone	IV	Typically 80-120 mg	Intravenous	No advantage over oral therapy
OTHER AGENTS				
Magnesium sulfate	IV	1-2 g over 20 minutes	Some studies show benefit	Typically only beneficial in severe asthma
Aminophylline		5.6 mg/kg loading dose then 0.9 mg/kg/hr maintenance	Modest bronchodilation	Must check levels before administration and adjust dose for medications and chronic illnesses
Ipratropium	MDI	18 mcg/spray 1-2 puffs with beta-agonists	Modest bronchodilation	Not all studies have demonstrated effect
	Nebulization	0.02% solution with beta-agonist	Modest bronchodilation	Not all studies have demonstrated effect

three hours.⁸⁶ More importantly, recent studies have found that adding inhaled steroids to courses of oral steroids decreases the relapse rate in patients who are discharged following ED treatment for asthma.^{87,88} Studies in children have found that inhaled steroids cannot be substituted for oral steroids.⁸⁹

There have been concerns about the effects of long term treatment with high-dose inhaled steroids on growth rate in children. It appears that there is either no long-term effect on adult height in children treated with inhaled steroids or a transient, small reduction in growth velocity.^{90,91} If used long-term, inhaled steroids have been shown to decrease asthma hospitalization time and mortality rate.⁹²⁻⁹⁴ Unfortunately, a recent study has found that many patients with unstable asthma are not on inhaled corticosteroids in spite of indications for their use.⁹⁵ Measures aimed toward enhancing compliance with outpatient, inhaled steroid therapy programs cannot be overemphasized.

Leukotriene Antagonists. One of the more recent and important developments in the pharmacological arena of asthma management has been the approval of the leukotriene receptor antagonists

for the management of chronic, stable asthma. Studies evaluating such leukotriene antagonists as zileuton, zafirlukast, and montelukast have demonstrated that, over the course of several months, there is a decrease in asthma symptoms, beta-agonist use, and improvement in pulmonary function.^{96,97} Several studies suggest that these drugs may be useful for the ED therapy of acute asthma. Within one hour of administration of either 100 mg or 250 mg of oral montelukast, significant improvement in pulmonary function was observed.⁹⁸ Intravenous administration of montelukast leads to an even greater improvement in pulmonary function compared to oral administration.⁹⁹ A previous multicenter study that has been published in abstract form evaluated more than 600 patients who received zafirlukast in addition to steroids and a beta-agonist. The zafirlukast-treated group had a significant reduction in dyspnea and improvement in pulmonary function. During the follow-up period, the zafirlukast-treated group had a decreased relapse rate.

A recent trial evaluated the efficacy of the anti-leukotriene montelukast for treatment of acute asthma using an intravenous formulation (which is not yet commercially available). This group

Table 6. Additional Agents for Post ED Asthma Therapy

DRUG	ROUTE	DOSE	COMMENTS	TYPICAL COST
INHALED STEROIDS				
Triamcinolone	MDI	2 puffs bid-qid	Rinse mouth after use	\$52 per cannister
Beclomethasone	MDI	2 puffs bid-qid	Rinse mouth after use	\$52 per cannister
Budesonide	MDI	1-2 puffs bid	Rinse mouth after use	\$108 per cannister
Fluticasone	MDI varying strengths	1-2 puffs bid	Rinse mouth after use	\$44-91 per cannister
LEUKOTRIENE ANTAGONISTS				
Zafirlukast	Oral	20 mg bid	Caution with hepatic disease	\$58 for 1-month supply
Montelukast	Oral	10 mg qd	4 or 5 mg dose in children	\$71 for 1-month supply
OTHER AGENTS				
Cromolyn	MDI nebulizer	2 puffs qid 20 mg minutes before exercise	Use 10-60 minutes before exercise	\$56/month
Nedocromil	MDI	2 puffs qid	Tailor dose to clinical effect	\$40/month
Sustained release theophylline	Oral	Start 200-300 mg bid and adjust based on levels	Monitor theophylline levels	\$20/month
Levalbuterol	Nebulizer	0.63-1.25 mg q6-8 h	Not currently approved for acute therapy	\$176/month
Salmeterol	MDI	2 puffs bid	Not for rescue therapy	\$65/month

hypothesized that intravenous montelukast would produce a rapid improvement in FEV₁ when given as adjuvant therapy for acute asthma. This multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group trial included adults (ages 15-54) with acute asthma who failed to improve with initial beta-agonists and oxygen received either intravenous montelukast or placebo as a single bolus infusion, in addition to standard therapy. FEV₁ was measured prior to (baseline) and at predetermined intervals following administration of study drug. Testing included baseline FEV₁ as covariate.

The trial revealed no differences in baseline characteristics between the groups. Mean baseline FEV₁ was 1.66 L (47% of predicted; N = 191). Over the first hour following administration of study drug, patients receiving placebo were refractory to subsequent treatment (average change in FEV₁ = 0.05 L; 95% CI = -0.06, 0.16). In contrast, montelukast caused a rapid improvement in FEV₁ (average change in FEV₁ over 20 minutes = 0.18 L; 95% CI = 0.04, 0.26). At 60 minutes, this difference was 0.22 L, an improvement of 13.6% from baseline. Least squares (LS) mean change differences in FEV₁ between montelukast and placebo were statistically significant (P < 0.05) at all time intervals. Beta-agonist use and corticosteroid use were not increased in the montelukast group. No significant drug-related adverse events were identified. These investigators concluded that intravenous montelukast causes rapid bronchodilation and is well-tolerated as adjuvant therapy in the management of acute asthma.

Magnesium. Magnesium has been shown to be useful for the treatment of severe, acute asthma both in adults and in children.¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰² Magnesium should not be considered routine therapy for all patients with acute asthma. A large trial found that, overall, magnesium was effective only in the subgroup of patients with severe airway obstruction.¹⁰³ This is consistent with the results of a meta-analysis which found that, overall, there is a non-significant improvement in peak flow with a significant

effect only in patients with severe obstruction.¹⁰⁴ Intravenous doses of 1.5-2 g usually are sufficient.

Aminophylline. In stable asthmatic patients, aminophylline therapy leads to improved pulmonary function. However, routine use of this drug is complicated by the narrow therapeutic window and its interaction with a number of other medications, including erythromycin, cimetidine, and antiarrhythmics. Concerns about aminophylline toxicity have lead to some recommendations to decrease the upper limit of the therapeutic range to 15 mcg/mL. Some studies have demonstrated a modest improvement in pulmonary function in patients treated with both aminophylline and albuterol.¹⁰⁵ The addition of aminophylline may lead to a decrease in hospitalization rate.¹⁰⁶ It should be stressed that even over the short course of ED treatment, aminophylline may increase the risk of side effects.¹⁰⁷ Unfortunately, most studies evaluating the use of aminophylline have been small, single-center studies. Overall, it appears that aminophylline may have a role to play in patients who are unresponsive to other therapies; however, there is inadequate evidence to judge the efficacy of aminophylline for routine asthma therapy.

Ipratropium. Older studies evaluating the effect of ipratropium have not found an advantage to adding this therapy to beta-agonist treatment.¹⁰⁸ In contrast, other studies have found that it is effective in patients with severe obstruction.¹⁰⁹ Most of the studies that have been performed evaluating the use of ipratropium have been small, with only a few studies enrolling more than 100 patients. When all of these studies were combined into a meta-analysis, the addition of ipratropium was shown to lead to a modest improvement in pulmonary function and a decrease in the hospitalization rate.¹¹⁰ The typical dose is 0.5 mL of the 0.02% solution or 2 puffs from a metered dose inhaler, and repeated as needed with the albuterol dosing.

Antibiotics. Most patients with acute asthma do not require antibiotic therapy because most infection-mediated asthma exacer-

bations probably are associated with viral respiratory infections, although this is difficult to demonstrate using serologic studies. Asthmatics, however, are susceptible to sinusitis, which may be subclinical in nature. Patients with evidence of lower respiratory tract infection or with clinical signs and symptoms of sinusitis may benefit from antibiotic therapy. When initiated, antibiotic therapy should cover both typical agents such as *Hemophilus influenzae* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, as well as atypical agents such as *Mycoplasma* or *Chlamydia*. For outpatient management of lower respiratory tract infections, therapy with agents such as azithromycin is recommended.

Antihistamines. Antihistamines are not generally thought of as medications for asthma therapy. Because of the frequent association between asthma and symptoms of rhinitis, there may be some role for adjunctive antihistamine therapy. In one study of patients with mild to moderate asthma and allergic rhinitis, an extended course of cetirizine decreased symptoms of chest tightness, wheezing, dyspnea, and nocturnal asthma symptoms.¹¹¹ A meta-analysis of a number of antihistamines, however, found that only the above study with cetirizine demonstrated a significant effect of antihistamines.¹¹²

Heliox. Heliox (a mixture of oxygen and helium) has occasionally been used for therapy in patients with respiratory failure.¹¹³⁻¹¹⁵ In a small, randomized study, a 70%/30% mixture led to a significant improvement in pulmonary function and dyspnea scores compared to oxygen alone.¹¹⁶ Other studies, however, have not demonstrated an advantage to heliox use.^{117,118} Currently, there is inadequate evidence to recommend the routine use of heliox. Where available, it may be considered for patients with respiratory failure.

Mechanical Ventilation. Assisted ventilation is indicated for patients with respiratory failure or impending failure who are not responsive to therapy. Nasal BiPAP is an appropriate treatment choice for cooperative patients. In order to be eligible, the patient must have normal mentation, and normal facial anatomy. Morbidly obese patients frequently fail therapy. BiPAP usually is started with inspiratory pressures of around 8 cm-H₂O and expiratory pressures of 3 cm-H₂O. The settings are increased based on pulse oximetry and arterial blood gas analysis. Patients who fail to improve over 30-60 minutes require intubation.

Disposition

Most patients respond well to therapy. Generally, the disposition of patients should follow the National Institutes of Health (NIH) recommendations based on peak flows after treatment. The decision to hospitalize the patient should be based on pulmonary function and clinical assessment, taking into account the severity of airflow obstruction, symptoms, and prior exacerbations; medication use over the course of the exacerbation; access to medical care; and the home conditions.²⁷

Patients, who continue to have severe airway obstruction with pulmonary function of less than 50% of predicted values, may require admission. Hypercarbia or mental status changes indicate a need for admission to an ICU for continued beta-agonist therapy, intravenous steroids, and monitoring for respiratory failure. Patients who demonstrate improvement with therapy with peak flows of 70% or more may be discharged home. Patients with

moderate to severe asthma or unstable asthma may benefit from long-term, daily peak flow monitoring.¹¹⁹ Patients with asthma should be instructed to abstain from smoking or exposure to environmental tobacco, to avoid exposure to allergens to which they are sensitive, and to try to minimize exercise when levels of air pollution are high. Finally, the patient's self-management and rescue plan should be reviewed.

In patients with peak flows that remain between 50% and 70% of that predicted after therapy, the disposition decision is tailored to the patient's individual clinical characteristics and social circumstances. Repeated presentation to the ED may signal a failure of the patient's home management or worsening disease. Hospitalization can be used to optimize the patient's regimen and provide further education.

In all patients discharged from the ED, close medical follow-up after an acute asthma attack is important. Patients should have prompt reevaluation with their primary care physician or other medical personnel, usually three days after discharge.

Summary

Initial assessment of patients with acute asthma should be aimed toward determining the severity of the acute attack, the precipitating cause of the attack, and the patient's risk of respiratory failure. Little diagnostic testing is required for most asthmatic patients. Aminophylline levels should be measured in patients on chronic therapy. Pulse oximetry may be useful for assessing the severity of the attack and the need for supplemental oxygen therapy. Measurements of pulmonary function, including either peak flow or the FEV₁, may be used to assess the severity of the episode and monitor the patient's progress through his or her ED stay.

Therapy is initiated with inhaled beta-agonist, typically albuterol, in the range of 2.5-5 mg by nebulized inhaler. Alternatively, this medication may be administered by other dry powder or with a meter dose inhaler along with a spacer. Oxygen therapy is occasionally necessary, especially in patients demonstrating significant desaturation.

Although there is only modest evidence to suggest that they effect the course of the ED treatment, oral steroids, such as prednisone in a dose of 40-60 mg, are typically administered if there is not rapid and near complete improvement with the first dose of nebulized albuterol. Patients with very severe obstruction or those who are not responding to the standard therapy may benefit from adjunctive therapy, including ipratropium, aminophylline, or magnesium. The role of other therapies, such as heliox and noninvasive positive pressure ventilation, remain under study.

Patients who meet criteria for discharge, relief of dyspnea and improvement in pulmonary function at least greater than 50% of predicted normal, should have their outpatient medication regimen adjusted. Patients should be on a spacer for use with their meter dose inhaler and receive a demonstration of its use. Salmeterol may be used to decrease the frequency of rescue therapy in addition to its use in patients who have prominent nocturnal symptoms. Ipratropium generally is considered first-line therapy for patients with elements of COPD, although it is not routinely used for younger patients with asthma. Patients should be discharged with a short

course of oral steroids, usually in the range of 5-14 days. A taper usually is not necessary for therapy lasting less than two weeks.

Inhaled corticosteroids should be started at the same time and patients should be kept on inhaled steroids for weeks to months following an acute exacerbation. In patients who are already on maximal therapy, consideration should be given to adding theophylline. Theophylline also may be considered in patients who cannot or will not use a meter dose inhaler or in those who have prominent nighttime symptoms. Leukotriene antagonists also may be added to decrease patients' steroid dependence. Patients with difficult-to-control asthma may benefit from a referral to an asthma specialist.

References

1. Elliott V. NIH asthma guidelines need to be streamlined. *Am Med News* 2000;1:1.
2. Mannino D, Homa D, Pertowski C, et al. Surveillance for Asthma—United States, 1960-1995. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1998;47(SS-1):1-28.
3. Sly R. Decreases in asthma mortality in the United States. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2000;85:121-127.
4. Roche W. Fibroblasts and asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1991;21:545-548.
5. Asthma mortality and hospitalization among children and young adults—United States 1990-1993. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1996;45:350-353.
6. Beasley R, Crane J, Lai C. Prevalence and etiology of asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2000;105:S466-S472.
7. Weiss KB, Gergen PJ, Hodgson TA. An economic evaluation of asthma in the United States. *N Engl J Med* 1992;326:862-866.
8. Szilagyi P, Holl J, Rodewald L. Evaluation of New York State's Child Health Plus: Children who have asthma. *Pediatrics* 2000;105:719-727.
9. Grant EN, Alp H, Weiss KB. The challenge of inner-city asthma. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 1999;5:27-34.
10. Corbridge T, Cygan J, Greenberger P. Substance abuse and acute asthma. *Intensive Care Med* 2000;26:347-349.
11. Tashkin D, Kleerup E, Koyal S. Acute effects of inhaled and IV cocaine on airway dynamics. *Chest* 1996;110:904-910.
12. Rosenwasser LJ, Borish L. Genetics of atopy and asthma: The rationale behind promoter-based candidate gene studies (IL-4 and IL-10). *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997;156:S152-S155.
13. Sanak M, Szczeklik A. Genetics of aspirin induced asthma. *Thorax* 2000;55(Suppl 2):S45-S47.
14. Palmer LJ, Cookson WO. Genomic approaches to understanding asthma. *Genome Res* 2000;10:1280-1287.
15. Emerman CL, Cydulka RK. Behavioral and environmental factors associated with acute exacerbation of asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 1998;81:239-242.
16. Rubinfeld A, Pain M. Perception of asthma. *Lancet* 1976;1:882-884.
17. Connolly MJ, Crowley JJ, Charan NB, et al. Reduced subjective awareness of bronchoconstriction provoked by methacholine in elderly asthmatic and normal subjects as measured on a simple awareness scale. *Thorax* 1992;47:410-413.
18. Kikuchi Y, Okabe S, Tamura G, et al. Chemosensitivity and perception of dyspnea in patients with history of near-fatal asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1994;330:1329-1334.
19. Shim CS, Williams MH Jr. Evaluation of the severity of asthma: Patients versus physicians. *Am J Med* 1980;68:11-13.
20. Russell N, Crichton N, Emerson P. Quantitative assessment of the value of spirometry. *Thorax* 1986;41:360-363.
21. Sole D, Komatsu MK, Carvalho KV, et al. Pulse oximetry in the evaluation of the severity of acute asthma and/or wheezing in children. *J Asthma* 1999;36:327-333.
22. Connett G, Lenney W. Use of pulse oximetry in the hospital management of acute asthma in childhood. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 1993;15:345-349.
23. Rebuck AS, Braude AC, Chapman KR. Evaluation of the severity of the acute asthmatic attack. *Chest* 1982;82:28S-29S.
24. Peters J, Rosstrucker J. Current concepts in managing status asthmaticus. *J Respirator Dis* 192; 13:829-849.
25. Rodrigo G, Rodrigo C. A new index for early prediction of hospitalization in patients with acute asthma. *Am J Emerg Med* 1997;15:8-13.
26. Jain P, Kavuru MS, Emerman CL, et al. Utility of peak expiratory flow monitoring. *Chest* 1998;114:861-876.
27. Panel NAEPP. Report 2: Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma. *NIH Publication* 1997:97-4051.
28. Konig P, Hurst DJ. Nebulized isoetharine and fenoterol in acute attacks of asthma. *Arch Intern Med* 1983;143:1361-1364.
29. Nowak RM, Tomlanovich MC, Sarkar DD, et al. Arterial blood gases and pulmonary function testing in acute bronchial asthma. Predicting patient outcomes. *JAMA* 1983;249:2043-2046.
30. Shannon M, Lovejoy F. The influence of age versus peak serum concentration of life-threatening events after chronic theophylline intoxication. *Arch Intern Med* 1990;150:2045-2048.
31. Emerman CL, Devlin C, Connors AF. Risk of toxicity in patients with elevated theophylline levels. *Ann Emerg Med* 1990;19:643-648.
32. Appel D, Karpel J, Sherman M. Epinephrine improves expiratory flow rates in patients with asthma who do not respond to inhaled metaproterenol sulfate. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1989;84:90-98.
33. Salmeron S, Brochard L, Mal H, et al. Nebulized versus intravenous albuterol in hypercapnic acute asthma. A multicenter, double-blind, randomized study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994;149:1466-1470.
34. High-dose inhaled versus intravenous salbutamol combined with theophylline in severe acute asthma. Swedish Society of Chest Medicine. *Eur Respir J* 1990;3:163-170.
35. Emerman CL, Cydulka RK, Efron D, et al. A randomized, controlled comparison of isoetharine and albuterol in the treatment of acute asthma. *Ann Emerg Med* 1991;20:1090-1093.
36. Shrestha M, Gourlay S, Robertson S, et al. Isoetharine versus albuterol for acute asthma: Greater immediate effect, but more side effects. *Am J Med* 1996;100:323-327.
37. Rodrigo C, Rodrigo G. Salbutamol treatment of acute severe asthma in the ED: MDI versus hand-held nebulizer. *Am J Emerg Med* 1998;16:637-642.
38. Raimondi AC, Schottlender J, Lombardi D, et al. Treatment of acute severe asthma with inhaled albuterol delivered via jet nebulizer, metered dose inhaler with spacer, or dry powder. *Chest* 1997;112:24-28.
39. Colacone A, Afilalo M, Wolkove N, et al. A comparison of albuterol administered by metered dose inhaler (and holding chamber) or wet nebulizer in acute asthma. *Chest* 1993;104:835-841.
40. Summer W, Elston R, Tharpe L, et al. Aerosol bronchodilator delivery methods. Relative impact on pulmonary function and cost of respiratory care. *Arch Intern Med* 1989;149:618-623.
41. Kelly HW, Murphy S. Beta-adrenergic agonists for acute, severe asthma. *Ann Pharmacother* 1992;26:81-91.
42. Webber B, Collins J, Branthwaite M. Severe acute asthma: A comparison of three methods of inhaling salbutamol. *Br J Dis Chest* 1982;76:69-74.
43. Nana A, Youngscayud P, Maranetra N, et al. B₂-agonists administered by a dry powder inhaler can be used in acute asthma. *Respir Med* 1998;92:167-172.
44. Shrestha M, Bidadi K, Gourlay S, et al. Continuous vs intermittent albuterol, at high and low doses, in the treatment of severe acute asthma in adults. *Chest* 1996;110:42-47.
45. Reisner C, Kotch A, Dworkin G. Continuous versus frequent intermittent nebulization of albuterol in acute asthma: A randomized, prospective study. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 1995;75:41-47.
46. Colacone A, Wolkove N, Stern E, et al. Continuous nebulization of albuterol (salbutamol) in acute asthma. *Chest* 1990;97:693-697.
47. McDermott MF, Nasr I, Rydman RJ, et al. Comparison of two regimens of β -adrenergics in acute asthma. *J Med Syst* 1999;23:269-278.
48. Robertson CF, Smith F, Beck R, et al. Response to frequent low doses of nebulized salbutamol in acute asthma. *J Pediatr* 1985;106:672-674.
49. Schuh S, Parkin P, Rajan A, et al. High- versus low-dose, frequently administered, nebulized albuterol in children with severe, acute asthma. *Pediatrics* 1989;83:513-518.

50. Lin RY, Smith AJ, Hergenroeder P. High serum albuterol levels and tachycardia in adult asthmatics treated with high-dose continuously aerosolized albuterol. *Chest* 1993;103:221-225.
51. Rodrigo G, Rodrigo C. Metered dose inhaler salbutamol treatment of asthma in the ED: Comparison of two doses with plasma levels. *Am J Emerg Med* 1996;14:144-150.
52. Strauss L, Hejal R, Galan G, et al. Observations on the effects of aerosolized albuterol in acute asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997;155:454-458.
53. McFadden ER Jr, Strauss L, Hejal R, et al. Comparison of two dosage regimens of albuterol in acute asthma. *Am J Med* 1998;105:12-17.
54. Rodrigo C, Rodrigo G. Therapeutic response patterns to high and cumulative doses of salbutamol in acute severe asthma. *Chest* 1998;113:593-598.
55. Ciccolella D, Brennan K, Kelsen S, et al. Dose-response characteristics of nebulized albuterol in the treatment of acutely ill, hospitalized asthmatics. *J Asthma* 1999;36:539-546.
56. Emerman CL, Cydulka RK, McFadden ER. Comparison of 2.5 vs 7.5 mg of inhaled albuterol in the treatment of acute asthma. *Chest* 1999;115:92-96.
57. Prior C, Leonard MB, McCullough JR. Effects of the enantiomers of R,S-salbutamol on incompletely fused tetanic contractions of slow- and fast-twitch skeletal muscles of the guinea-pig. *Br J Pharmacol* 1998;123:558-564.
58. Yamaguchi H, McCullough JR. S-albuterol exacerbates calcium responses to carbachol in airway smooth muscle cells. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol* 1996;14:47-55.
59. Nelson HS, Bensch G, Pleskow WW, et al. Improved bronchodilation with levalbuterol compared with racemic albuterol in patients with asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1998;102:943-952.
60. Handley DA, Tinkelman D, Noonan M, et al. Dose-response evaluation of levalbuterol versus racemic albuterol in patients with asthma. *J Asthma* 2000;37:319-327.
61. Nowak R, Emerman C, Schaefer K, et al. Pilot study to determine the safety and efficacy of escalating doses of levalbuterol in the treatment of acute asthma in the emergency department. *Acad Emerg Med* 2000;7:433.
62. Asmus MJ, Hendeles L. Levalbuterol nebulizer solution: Is it worth five times the cost of albuterol? *Pharmacotherapy* 2000;20:123-129.
63. Luaces C, Garcia C, Garron TP, et al. The usefulness of a clinical scoring system and pulse oximetry (SaO₂) in assessing the severity of asthmatic crisis. *Ann Esp Pediatr* 1996;44:429-432.
64. Chien JW, Ciufu R, Novak R, et al. Uncontrolled oxygen administration and respiratory failure in acute asthma. *Chest* 2000;117:728-733.
65. Stein LM, Cole RP. Early administration of corticosteroids in emergency room treatment of acute asthma. *Ann Intern Med* 1990;112:822-827.
66. McFadden J, Kiser R, deGroot W, et al. A controlled study of the effects of single doses of hydrocortisone on the resolution of acute attacks of asthma. *Am J Med* 1976;60:52-59.
67. Littenberg B, Gluck EH. A controlled trial of methylprednisolone in the emergency treatment of acute asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1986;314:150-152.
68. Lin RY, Pesola GR, Bakalchuk L, et al. Rapid improvement of peak flow in asthmatic patients treated with parenteral methylprednisolone in the emergency department: A randomized controlled study. *Ann Emerg Med* 1999;33:487-494.
69. Rowe BH, Keller JL, Oxman AD. Effectiveness of steroid therapy in acute exacerbations of asthma: A meta-analysis. *Am J Emerg Med* 1992;10:301-310.
70. Rodrigo G, Rodrigo C. Corticosteroids in the emergency department therapy of acute adult asthma: An evidence-based evaluation. *Chest* 1999;116:285-295.
71. Fiel SB, Swartz MA, Glanz K, et al. Efficacy of short-term corticosteroid therapy in outpatient treatment of acute bronchial asthma. *Am J Med* 1983;75:259-262.
72. Chapman KR, Verbeek PR, White JG, et al. Effect of a short course of prednisone in the prevention of early relapse after the emergency room treatment of acute asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1991;324:788-794.
73. Lederle FA, Pluhar RE, Joseph AM, et al. Tapering of corticosteroid therapy following exacerbation of asthma. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Arch Intern Med* 1987;147:2201-2203.
74. Cydulka RK, Emerman CL. A pilot study of steroid therapy after emergency department treatment of acute asthma: Is a taper needed? *J Emerg Med* 1998;16:15-19.
75. Hatton M, Vathenen A, Allen M, et al. A comparison of "abruptly stopping" with "tailing off" oral corticosteroids in acute asthma. *Respir Med* 1995;89:101-104.
76. Ratto D, Alfaro C, Sipsy J, et al. Are intravenous corticosteroids required in status asthmaticus? *JAMA* 1988;260:527-529.
77. Jonsson S, Kjartansson G, Gislason D, et al. Comparison of the oral and intravenous routes for treating asthma with methylprednisolone and theophylline. *Chest* 1988;94:723-726.
78. Bowler SD, Mitchell CA, Armstrong JG. Corticosteroids in acute severe asthma: Effectiveness of low doses. *Thorax* 1992;47:584-587.
79. Raimondi AC, Figueroa-Casas JC, Roncoroni AJ. Comparison between high and moderate doses of hydrocortisone in the treatment of status asthmaticus. *Chest* 1986;89:832-835.
80. Haskell RJ, Wong BM, Hansen JE. A double-blind, randomized clinical trial of methylprednisolone in status asthmaticus. *Arch Intern Med* 1983;143:1324-1327.
81. Tanaka RM, Santiago SM, Kuhn GJ, et al. Intravenous methylprednisolone in adults in status asthmaticus. *Chest* 1982;82:438-440.
82. Harfi H, Hanissian AS, Crawford LV. Treatment of status asthmaticus in children with high doses and conventional doses of methylprednisolone. *Pediatrics* 1978;61:829-831.
83. Emerman CL, Cydulka RK. A randomized comparison of 100-mg vs 500-mg dose of methylprednisolone in the treatment of acute asthma. *Chest* 1995;107:1559-1563.
84. McNamara RM, Rubin JM. Intramuscular methylprednisolone acetate for the prevention of relapse in acute asthma. *Ann Emerg Med* 1993;22:1829-1835.
85. Singhi DS, Kumar L, Jayshree M. Efficacy of nebulized budesonide compared to oral prednisolone in acute bronchial asthma. *Acta Paediatr* 1999;88:835-840.
86. Rodrigo G, Rodrigo C. Inhaled flunisolide for acute severe asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;157:698-703.
87. Rowe BH, Bota GW, Fabris L, et al. Inhaled budesonide in addition to oral corticosteroids to prevent asthma relapse following discharge from the emergency department: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 1999;281:2119-2126.
88. FitzGerald JM, Shragge D, Haddon J, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of high dose, inhaled budesonide versus oral prednisone in patients discharged from the emergency department following an acute asthma exacerbation. *Can Respir J* 2000;7:61-67.
89. Schuh S, Reisman J, Alshehri M, et al. A comparison of inhaled fluticasone and oral prednisone for children with severe acute asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:689-694.
90. Agertoft L, Pedersen S. Effect of long-term treatment with inhaled budesonide on adult height in children with asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:1064-1069.
91. Group TCAMPR. Long-term effects of budesonide or nedocromil in children with asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:1054-1063.
92. Donahue JG, Weiss ST, Livingston JM, et al. Inhaled steroids and the risk of hospitalization for asthma. *JAMA* 1997;277:887-891.
93. Suissa S, Ernst P, Benayoun S, et al. Low-dose inhaled corticosteroids and the prevention of death from asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:332-336.
94. Gerdtham U-G, Hertzman P, Jonsson B, et al. Impact of inhaled corticosteroids on acute asthma hospitalization in Sweden 1978-1991. *Med Care* 1996;34:1188-1198.
95. Singh AK, Woodruff P, Ritz R, et al. Inhaled corticosteroids for asthma: Are ED visits a missed opportunity for prevention? *Am J Emerg Med* 1999;17:144-147.
96. Kemp JP, Minkwitz MC, Bonuccelli CM, et al. Therapeutic effect of zafirlukast as monotherapy in steroid-naive patients with severe persistent asthma. *Chest* 1999;115:336-342.
97. Malmstrom K, Rodriguez-Gomez G, Guerra J, et al. Oral montelukast, inhaled beclomethasone, and placebo for chronic asthma. *Ann Intern Med* 1999;130:487-495.
98. Reiss TF, Sorkness CA, Stricker W, et al. Effects of montelukast (MK-0476); a potent cysteinyl leukotriene receptor antagonist, on bronchodilation in asthmatic subjects treated with and without inhaled corticosteroids. *Thorax* 1997;52:45-48.

99. Dockhorn R, Baumgartner R, Leff J, et al. Comparison of the effects of intravenous and oral montelukast on airway function: A double blind, placebo controlled, three period, crossover study in asthmatic patients. *Thorax* 2000;55:260-265.
100. Ciarallo L, Brousseau D, Reinert S. Higher-dose intravenous magnesium therapy for children with moderate to severe acute asthma [In Process Citation]. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2000;154:979-983.
101. Skobeloff E, Spivey W, McNamara R, et al. Intravenous magnesium sulfate for the treatment of acute asthma in the emergency department. *JAMA* 1989;262:1210-1213.
102. Okayama H, Aikawa T, Okayama M, et al. Bronchodilating effect of intravenous magnesium sulfate in bronchial asthma. *JAMA* 1987;257:1076-1078.
103. Bloch H, Silverman R, Mancherje N, et al. Intravenous magnesium sulfate as an adjunct in the treatment of acute asthma. *Chest* 1995;107:1576-1581.
104. Rodrigo G, Rodrigo C, Burschtin O. Efficacy of magnesium sulfate in acute adult asthma: A meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Am J Emerg Med* 2000;18:216-221.
105. Ohta K, Nakagome K, Akiyama K, et al. Aminophylline is effective on acute exacerbations of asthma in adults—Objective improvements in peak flow, spirogram, arterial blood gas measurements and lung sounds. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1996;26:32-37.
106. Wrenn K, Slovis CM, Murphy F, et al. Aminophylline therapy for acute bronchospastic disease in the emergency room. *Ann Intern Med* 1991;115:241-247.
107. Littenberg B. Aminophylline treatment in severe, acute asthma. A meta-analysis. *JAMA* 1988;259:1678-1684.
108. Karpel JP, Schacter EN, Fanta C, et al. A comparison of ipratropium and albuterol vs albuterol alone for the treatment of acute asthma. *Chest* 1996;110:611-616.
109. Rodrigo GJ, Rodrigo C. First-line therapy for adult patients with acute asthma receiving a multiple-dose protocol of ipratropium bromide plus albuterol in the emergency department. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;161:1862-1868.
110. Rodrigo G, Rodrigo C, Burschtin O. A meta-analysis of the effects of ipratropium bromide in adults with acute asthma. *Am J Med* 1999;107:363-370.
111. Aaronson DW. Evaluation of cetirizine in patients with allergic rhinitis and perennial asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 1996;76:440-446.
112. Van Ganse E, Kaufman L, Derde MP, et al. Effects of antihistamines in adult asthma: A meta-analysis of clinical trials. *Eur Respir J* 1997;10:2216-2224.
113. Austan F. Heliox inhalation in status asthmaticus and respiratory acidemia: A brief report. *Heart Lung* 1996;25:155-157.
114. Manthous CA, Hall JB, Caputo MA, et al. Heliox improves pulsus paradoxus and peak expiratory flow in nonintubated patients with severe asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995;151:310-314.
115. Schaeffer EM, Pohlman A, Morgan S, et al. Oxygenation in status asthmaticus improves during ventilation with helium-oxygen. *Crit Care Med* 1999;27:2666-2670.
116. Kass JE, Terregino CA. The effect of heliox in acute severe asthma: A randomized controlled trial. *Chest* 1999;116:296-300.
117. Henderson S, Acyarya P, Kilaghbian T, et al. Use of heliox-driven nebulizer therapy in the treatment of acute asthma. *Ann Emerg Med* 1999;33:141-146.
118. Verbeek P, Chopra A. Heliox does not improve FEV₁ in acute asthma patients. *J Emerg Med* 1998;16:545-548.
119. Jones KP, Mullee MA, Middleton M, et al. Peak flow based asthma self-management: A randomised controlled study in general practice. British Thoracic Society Research Committee. *Thorax* 1995;50:851-857.

Physician CME Questions

81. Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disorder resulting in widespread narrowing of the airways and is characterized by which of the following clinical symptoms?
 - A. Wheezing
 - B. Dyspnea
 - C. Chest tightness
 - D. Cough
 - E. All of the above

82. Specific trigger factors that precipitate asthma exacerbations include which of the following?
 - A. Inhaled antigens
 - B. Cold air
 - C. Dust
 - D. Cigarette smoke
 - E. All of the above
83. Which of the following tests should be performed on most patients with an acute asthma exacerbation?
 - A. Chest radiograph
 - B. White blood count
 - C. Peak flow measurement
 - D. Theophylline level
84. All of the following about inhaled beta-agonists are true *except*:
 - A. Isoetharine, in particular, produces significant bronchodilatation within 10 minutes of administration but it must be diluted to avoid reactions to the preservative agent, bisulfite.
 - B. Salmeterol should *not* be used in acute exacerbation due to its delayed onset of action.
 - C. The variable routes administration lead to similar degrees of airway improvement, assuming they are used properly.
 - D. Inhaled agents are as effective as oral agents.
85. Which of the following suggests a need for admission?
 - A. Post-treatment pulmonary function of less than 50% of predicted value
 - B. Patient presenting with a fever
 - C. A pulse oximetry of 92% of initial evaluation
 - D. Ongoing steroid use before arrival
86. Magnesium may be useful in asthma treatment when:
 - A. the initial pulmonary function is < 30% of predicted value.
 - B. the patient has severe obstruction.
 - C. the patient is on chronic steroids.
 - D. there is a history of alcohol abuse.
87. In order to use nasal bipap, all of the following must be present *except*:
 - A. normal mentation.
 - B. normal facial anatomy.
 - C. marked respiratory acidosis.
 - D. a cooperative patient.
88. In the patient with asthma, a physical presentation including which of the following would signal impending or actual actual respiratory failure?
 - A. Altered mental status
 - B. Severely labored breathing
 - C. Accessory muscle use
 - D. All of the above

In Future Issues:

Drugs of Abuse