



INSIDE

- XDR-TB in the United States, page 15
- Putting sunscreen to the test, page 16

Volume 19, No. 3
March 2009

Financial Disclosure:

Travel Medicine Advisor's physician editor, Frank Bia, MD, MPH, Associate Publisher Russ Underwood, and Specialty Editor Shelly Morrow Mark report no financial relationships relevant to this field of study.

Outbreak of Acute Schistosomiasis: Brief Exposure and Severe Morbidity

ABSTRACT & COMMENTARY

By Brian Blackburn, MD

Dr. Blackburn is Clinical Assistant Professor of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases and Geographic Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine.

Dr. Blackburn reports no financial relationships relevant to this field of study.

This article originally appeared in the February 2009 issue of Infectious Disease Alert.

Synopsis: *A group of travelers to Tanzania experienced a high attack rate of acute schistosomiasis after swimming in a freshwater pond. Resultant short-term morbidity was high, although no patients developed clinical evidence of chronic infection within the first year of follow-up.*

Source: Leshem E, et al. Acute schistosomiasis outbreak: clinical features and economic impact. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2008;47:1499-1506.

SCHISTOSOMIASIS IS THE MOST IMPORTANT TREMATODE INFECTION WORLDWIDE, transmitted to humans through skin contact with infested freshwater.¹ Endemic primarily to the tropics, transmission is particularly intense through much of sub-Saharan Africa. Although chronic schistosomiasis can lead to portal hypertension or serious urinary tract pathology, these complications are seen mostly in long-term residents of endemic areas and are rare in travelers.¹ In contrast, acute schistosomiasis (also known as Katayama fever) often is seen among non-immune travelers, usually within 1-2 months of infection.² Traditionally, this syndrome has been characterized by fever, cough, rash, eosinophilia, hepatosplenomegaly, and other clinical findings.²

Although several outbreaks of acute schistosomiasis have been reported, most have involved prolonged freshwater exposure and few have examined the short-term morbidity of acute schistosomiasis.³⁻⁶ Leshem et al, therefore, undertook an investigation of a cluster of cases from a group of 34 Israeli travelers who had been on a luxury safari trip to Tanzania. After the index case of acute schistosomiasis was identified, an epidemiologic investigation revealed that 27 persons in the group had been exposed to a freshwater pond at a tented lodge in Northern Tanzania. This was the only freshwater exposure for all of the travelers during this trip, and all 27 exposed persons had a single, brief exposure to the pond (mean, 39 minutes). Twenty-two (81%) of the 27 developed acute schistosomiasis, and none of the seven unexposed individuals developed schistosomiasis. Infection status was determined using stool (and urine) ova and parasite examinations, serology, and clinical findings.

Swimming time in the pond tended to be higher for infected than for non-infected (but exposed) persons (44 vs 12 minutes, $p = 0.06$). Cercarial dermatitis was reported shortly after exposure in three (14%) of the 22 infected persons. Symptoms experienced by those with acute schistosomiasis included: cough (78%), fever (68%), fatigue (58%), rash (37%), diarrhea (37%), and abdominal pain (26%). Three (14%) infected patients were asymptomatic. Rash and fever appeared earliest (mean, 3-4 weeks after exposure), whereas cough and gastrointestinal symptoms appeared last (mean, 5-6 weeks after exposure). Fatigue was the symptom that persisted the longest (mean 6-7 weeks), whereas cough and diarrhea lasted 3-4 weeks, and rash and fever only 1-2 weeks. Among the 22 infected travelers, elevated ALT was found in 45% and eosinophilia in 72%; the latter persisted for more than a year in two persons. All infected patients were treated with praziquantel, and eight also received corticosteroids. None experienced any late complication of schistosomiasis at 12-month follow-up.

Among the 22 infected travelers, 258 medical encounters resulted (mean, 11.7 per person) and four persons were hospitalized. Most (86%) patients missed time from work or school (mean, 8 days each), and a mean of 15 leisure activities were also missed per person. Among infected persons, health-related quality of life (assessed using a standardized questionnaire) was significantly lower than US norms for three months after infection, after which they recovered from infection.

■ COMMENTARY

This outbreak of acute schistosomiasis was characterized by high attack rate among swimmers with a single, brief exposure to a freshwater pond in Tanzania. Although many schistosomiasis outbreaks have been reported, few have demonstrated high infection rates after such a brief exposure, and in this cohort, infected travelers were exposed only 30 minutes longer than their uninfected counterparts.

Most infected patients were symptomatic with acute schistosomiasis, and the study provides a useful description of the relative frequency of symptoms and their time course. Although underreported in other outbreaks, cough was the most commonly reported symptom. Interestingly, fever was absent in nearly one-third of symptomatic patients, despite being previously considered a hallmark of acute schistosomiasis. The severity and persistence of fatigue and the impact on quality of life also was significant.

The optimal therapy for acute schistosomiasis has yet to be determined. Although all infected patients in this outbreak received praziquantel, long-term follow-up data are not available. Praziquantel is not active against schistosomula (the immature form of the parasite), and it is known that patients treated only with praziquantel during acute schistosomiasis can develop chronic disease if they are not retreated in the following months.⁷ Artemesinins may be a better choice early in disease, as they do have activity against schistosomula, but clinical data to support this are lacking. In addition, although 42% of the symptomatic patients in this cohort received corticosteroids, their role in acute schistosomiasis also

Editor: Frank J. Bia, MD, MPH, Professor (Emeritus) of Internal Medicine (Infectious Disease and Clinical Microbiology); Yale University School of Medicine. **Associate Editors:** Michele Barry, MD, FACP, Professor of Medicine; Co-Director, International Health Program; Department of Internal Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine. Lin H. Chen, MD, Assistant Clinical Professor, Harvard Medical School Director, Travel Medicine Center, Mt. Auburn Hospital, Cambridge, Mass. Philip R. Fischer, MD, DTM&H, Professor of Pediatrics, Department of Pediatric & Adolescent Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN. Mary-Louise Scully, MD, Director, Travel and Tropical Medicine Center, Sansum Clinic, Santa Barbara, Calif. Kathleen J. Hynes, RN, BS, Group Health Cooperative of Puget Sound, Seattle. Elaine C. Jong, MD, Past President, American Committee on Clinical Tropical Medicine and Traveler's Health, American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene; Co-Director, Travel Medicine Service, University of Washington Medical Center, Seattle. Jay S. Keystone, MD, MSc (CTM), FRCPC, Professor of Medicine; Former Director, Tropical Disease Unit, The Toronto Hospital, University of Toronto; Past president of the International Society of Travel Medicine. Phyllis E. Kozarsky, MD, Professor of Medicine and Infectious Diseases; Director, International Travelers Clinic, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta. Maria D. Mileno, MD, Director, Travel Medicine, The Miriam Hospital, Associate Professor of Medicine, Brown University, Providence, RI. **Associate Publisher:** Russ Underwood. **Specialty Editor:** Shelly Morrow Mark. **Director of Marketing:** Schandale Komegay.

The editor and associate editors of *Travel Medicine Advisor* are members of the American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene and/or the International Society of Travel Medicine. Statements and opinions expressed in *Travel Medicine Advisor* are those of the author(s) and/or editor(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official position of the organizations with which the authors are affiliated.

ACCREDITATION: AHC Media LLC is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

AHC Media LLC designates this educational activity for a maximum of 18 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits*[™]. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

This CME activity is intended for the travel medicine specialist. It is in effect for 36 months from the date of the publication.



Travel Medicine Advisor (ISSN # 1930-0867) is published monthly by AHC Media LLC, 3525 Piedmont Road, N.E., Six Piedmont Center, Suite 400, Atlanta, GA 30305. Telephone: (404) 262-7436. Periodicals Postage Paid at Atlanta, GA 30304 and at additional mailing offices.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Travel Medicine Advisor*, PO Box 740059, Atlanta, GA 30374-9815.

Subscription Information: Customer Service: (800) 688-2421 or fax (800) 284-3291. Hours of operation: 8:30am-6pm Monday-Thursday; 8:30am-4:30pm Friday ET. Email: customerservice@ahcmedia.com Website: www.ahcmedia.com. Subscription rates: USA, one year (12 issues) \$449. Add \$17.95 for shipping & handling. Outside U.S., add \$30 per year, total prepaid in U.S. funds. Discounts are available for group subscriptions, multiple copies, site-licenses or electronic distribution. For pricing information, call Tria Kreutzer at 404-262-5482.

Copyright © 2009. All rights reserved. No part of this newsletter may be reproduced in any form or incorporated into any information-retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. This is an educational publication designed to present scientific information and opinion to health care professionals to stimulate thought and further investigation. It does not provide specific advice regarding medical diagnosis, treatment, or drug dosages for any individual case. It is not intended for use by the layman.

remains poorly defined. Notably, some patients in this cohort had persistent eosinophilia up to a year after treatment. Demonstrating cure after treatment also remains a challenge, especially given the difficulty of identifying the parasite in stool or urine samples, and the persistence of antibody for years or longer after cure.

This study demonstrates the importance of patient education regarding the mechanisms of transmission of this preventable disease and the severe morbidity that is possible with acute schistosomiasis. Travelers should be advised to avoid swimming or bathing in untreated freshwater sources in endemic areas (even on luxury trips), no matter how brief the exposure, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa. ■

References

1. Gryseels B, et al. Human schistosomiasis. *Lancet*. 2006; 368:1106-1118.
2. Ross AG, et al. Katayama Syndrome. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2007;7:218-224.
3. Cetron MS, et al. Schistosomiasis in Lake Malawi. *Lancet*. 1996;348:1274-1278.
4. Schwartz E, et al. Schistosome infection among river rafters on Omo River, Ethiopia. *J Travel Med*. 2005; 12:3-8.
5. Visser LG, et al. Outbreak of schistosomiasis among travelers returning from Mali, West Africa. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1995;20:280-285.
6. Cooke GS, et al. Acute pulmonary schistosomiasis in travelers returning from Lake Malawi, sub-Saharan Africa. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1999;29:836-839.
7. Grandière-Pérez L, et al. Efficacy of praziquantel during the incubation and invasive phase of *Schistosoma haematobium* schistosomiasis in 18 travelers. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2006;74:814-818.

XDR-TB in the United States

ABSTRACT & COMMENTARY

By Stan Deresinski, MD, FACP

Dr. Deresinski is Clinical Professor of Medicine, Stanford, Associate Chief of Infectious Diseases, Santa Clara Valley Medical Center, and Editor for Infectious Disease Alert.

Dr. Deresinski serves on the speaker's bureau of Merck, Pharmacia, GlaxoSmithKline, Pfizer, Bayer, and Wyeth, and does research for Merck. Peer reviewer Connie Price, MD, Assistant Professor, University of Colorado School of Medicine, reports no financial relationships relevant to this field of study.

This article originally appeared in the December 2008 issue of Infectious Disease Alert.

Synopsis: In contrast to some regions of the world, the incidence of XDR-TB in the United States has decreased and remained at a very low level.

Source: Shah NS, et al. Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis in the United States, 1993-2007. *JAMA*. 2008; 300: 2153-2160.

CDC INVESTIGATORS ANALYZED 15 YEARS OF SURVEILLANCE data of culture-confirmed cases of tuberculosis from the 50 states and the District of Columbia, identifying 201,399 with isoniazid- and rifampin-susceptibility results. Of these, 3,379 (1%-7%) were resistant to both drugs and, thus, categorized as MDR-TB. Among the MDR-TB isolates, sufficient susceptibility testing was available to further categorize 2,087; 83 (0.04% of the total TB cases with drug susceptibility results) were XDR-TB (ie, they were additionally resistant to a fluoroquinolone and to a second-line injectable drug).

The largest annual number of cases of XDR-TB, 18, were reported at the beginning of the surveillance period in 1993, but a median of only 3.5 cases per year were reported over the last decade of the study. During 1993-1997, 25 of 40 (62%) patients with XDR-TB were known to be HIV-infected; this proportion decreased to six of 43 (14%) during 1998-2007. Just more than half were U.S.-born, and 40% were Hispanic. Three of the patients with XDR-TB were health care workers.

The median time to culture conversion among the XDR-TB-infected patients was 183 days (range, 103-344 days), a significantly longer time than for MDR-TB patients, or those infected with susceptible strains. Only 44% of XDR-TB patients, however, completed treatment, and 35% died, a death rate more than twice that of MDR-TB patients and more than six times that of patients infected with susceptible strains. Seventy percent (21 of 30) of HIV-infected patients died, while only 9% (2 of 22) without HIV coinfection died.

■ COMMENTARY

These data demonstrate that the number of cases of XDR-TB in the United States is small and diminishing, with only a few cases identified annually. This, of course, is not the case in many places in the world. Attention was drawn to the problem of XDR-TB in 2006 with the report of an outbreak in South Africa involving 53 patients, all of whom died.¹ Of note, all whose serostatus was known were HIV infected, indicating a link between these two epidemics, one also observed in the U.S. experience. This experience was a

harbinger of what was to follow. In February 2008, WHO reported that MDR-TB, a way station on the path to XDR-TB, was present in 5.5% of all cases of TB in the 81 countries surveyed, with China and countries of the former Soviet Union having the highest rates. For example, 22.3% of TB cases in Baku, Azerbaijan, were MDR-TB. Limited data were available from Africa, where only six countries were represented. It was estimated that 490,000 MDR-TB cases emerge annually and account for more than 110,000 deaths.

At least one case of XDR-TB was identified in each of the 45 countries and, once again, the former Soviet Union was among the leaders, with the proportion of MDR-TB that were XDR-TB ranging from 4% in Armenia to 24% in Estonia. WHO estimates that approximately 40,000 cases of XDR-TB will emerge annually in the world.

Many of the patients in the report by Shah et al had acquired drug resistance (ie, initial isolates were generally drug susceptible, while one or more subsequent isolates were XDR-TB). It is generally assumed that this phenomenon is the consequence of poorly chosen regimens or poor patient compliance. A recent publication from a group in South Africa, however, found that, in all 17 patients (all HIV infected) for whom adequate data were available, the development of MDR-TB or XDR-TB was the result of exogenous reinfection.³ This circumstance is, fortunately, not likely to apply to the United States, with its lower prevalence of MDR-TB and XDR-TB and its generally superior isolation facilities. ■

References:

1. Gandhi NR, et al. Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis as a cause of death in patients co-infected with tuberculosis and HIV in a rural area of South Africa. *Lancet*. 2006;368:1575-1580.
2. http://www.who.int/tb/features_archive/drs_factsheet.pdf
3. Andrews JR, et al. Exogenous reinfection as a cause of multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis in rural South Africa. *J Infect Dis*. 2008;198:1582-1589.

See also:

Jassai M, Bishai WR. Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2008 Nov 4 [Epub ahead of print].

Putting Sunscreen to the Test

By Louis Kuritzky, MD

Dr. Kuritzky is Clinical Assistant Professor, University of Florida, Gainesville.

Dr. Kuritzky is a consultant for GlaxoSmithKline and is on the speaker's bureau of GlaxoSmithKline, 3M, Wyeth-Ayerst, Pfizer, Novartis, Bristol-Myers Squibb, AstraZeneca, Jones Pharma, and Boehringer Ingelheim. Peer reviewer Gerald Roberts, MD, Assistant Clinical Professor of Medicine, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, NY, reports no financial relationships relevant to this field of study.

This article originally appeared in the January 29, 2009 issue of Internal Medicine Alert.

Source: Sang SQ, et al. In vitro assessments of UVA protection by popular sunscreens available in the United States. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2008;59:934-942.

SPF STANDS FOR “SUN PROTECTION FACTOR,” BUT if FDA recommendations change, the name will soon stand for “sunburn protection factor” because of the recognition that current SPF testing reflects erythema effects of UVA light in the 320-340 nm and UVB light in the 290-320 nm wavelengths, but does not necessarily reflect efficacy for other photodamaging wavelengths. UVA light in the 340-400 nm range is also dermatotoxic, but impact of sunscreen on this light component has not previously been included in labeling. In August 2007, the FDA suggested a new rating scale that includes a ratio of UVA 340-400 nm (termed UVA1) to total UV light absorption. Agents that have low efficacy for absorbing UVA1, even though they have good efficacy for other wavelengths, would be rated lower in overall efficacy.

An analysis of 13 OTC sunscreen products using the new FDA criteria found 8 to provide medium protection and 5 to provide high protection. All but one of the selected products had an SPF of at least 30. If the proposed FDA metric becomes widely accepted, consumers will have an opportunity to better appraise the overall efficacy of sunscreen products. ■

PHARMACOLOGY WATCH



Supplement to *Clinical Cardiology Alert, Clinical Oncology Alert, Critical Care Alert, Infectious Disease Alert, Internal Medicine Alert, Neurology Alert, OB/GYN Clinical Alert, Primary Care Reports, Travel Medicine Advisor.*

Warning Regarding Topical Anesthetics

In this issue: FDA warning on topical anesthetics; antipsychotics increase sudden cardiac death; the step up vs step down debate; treating pain, fatigue, mood, and sleep in fibromyalgia; FDA Actions.

Something for your pain?

The FDA has issued a warning regarding topical anesthetics and the risk of life-threatening side effects. This is the second warning in 2 years regarding this issue, the first coming in February 2007 following the deaths of two women who used extensive topical anesthetics in preparation for cosmetic procedures. The latest warning was prompted by a study published in *Radiology*, which compared oral acetaminophen or ibuprofen vs lidocaine gel applied to the skin of the breasts to reduce discomfort during mammography. In the study, 4% lidocaine gel was applied by a nurse from the clavicles to the inferior costal margins and laterally to the mid axillary lines and then covered with plastic wrap to ensure consistency of application. Discomfort from mammograms was significantly lower in the lidocaine gel group and the authors postulate that decreased discomfort may improve the likelihood of future mammographic screening (Lambertz CK, et al. *Radiology* 2008;248:765-772). The FDA's previous warning in 2007 followed on the heels of two reports of young women undergoing laser hair removal who applied either lidocaine or tetracaine topical preparations to the lower extremities and then covered the application with plastic wrap. Both women developed seizures, fell into a coma, and eventually died due to excessive blood levels of the topical anesthetic. Many of these topical products are avail-

able over the counter. The FDA strongly advises consumers not to: make heavy application of topical anesthetics over large areas of skin, use concentrated formulas, apply to broken or irritated skin, wrap the treated skin with plastic wrap or other dressings, or apply heat to skin treated with these products.

Increase in sudden cardiac death

Antipsychotics, both typical and atypical, are associated with a dose-related increase in sudden cardiac death according to a new study. Typical antipsychotics such as thioridazine (Mellaril®) and haloperidol (Haldol®) block repolarizing potassium currents and prolong QT intervals. Multiple studies have shown a dose-related increased risk of sudden cardiac death associated with these drugs. Less is known about the atypical antipsychotic drugs although many have similar cardiovascular effects. Researchers from Nashville reviewed the records of Medicaid enrollees in Tennessee including the records of 44,218 and 46,089 baseline users of a single typical and atypical antipsychotic, respectively. These were matched with 186,600 nonusers of antipsychotic drugs. Thioridazine and haloperidol were

This supplement was written by William T. Elliott, MD, FACP, Chair, Formulary Committee, Kaiser Permanente, California Division; Assistant Clinical Professor of Medicine, University of California-San Francisco. In order to reveal any potential bias in this publication, Dr. Elliott reports no consultant, stockholder, speaker's bureau, research, or other financial relationships with companies having ties to this field of study. Questions and comments, call: (404) 262-5468. E-mail: paula.cousins@ahcmedia.com.

the most frequently prescribed typical agents, while clozapine (Clozaril®), quetiapine (Seroquel®), olanzapine (Zyprexa®), and risperidone (Risperdal®) were the most commonly used atypical agents. Both users of typical and atypical antipsychotic drugs had higher rates of sudden cardiac death than nonusers with adjusted incident rate ratios of 1.99 (95% CI, 1.68-2.34) and 2.26 (95% CI, 1.8-2.72), respectively. There was a higher rate for users of atypical antipsychotic drugs vs typical antipsychotics with an incident rate of 1.14 for the comparison (95% CI, 0.93-1.39). For both classes of drugs, the risk for current users increased significantly with increasing dose. The authors conclude that current users of typical and of atypical antipsychotic drugs had similar, dose-related increased risk of sudden cardiac death and that atypical antipsychotic drugs are no safer than the older drugs (Ray WA, et al. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:225-235). An accompanying editorial suggests that children and the elderly are particularly vulnerable to these drugs and their use in these populations should be “sharply reduced” (Schneeweiss S, Avorn J. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:294-296).

Step up vs step down

Which is more effective for treating dyspepsia: Starting with aggressive therapy and tapering down, or starting with antacids and progressing to more aggressive therapy depending on symptoms? The so called step-up vs step-down debate has raged for years, particularly in managed-care settings. In a new study from the Netherlands, patients with dyspepsia were randomized to treatment with an antacid, H2-receptor antagonist, and proton pump inhibitor (step up) vs the same drugs in reverse order (step down), with each step lasting 4 weeks. Primary outcome was symptom relief and cost-effectiveness of initial management at 6 months. Treatment success after 6 months was achieved in 72% of patients in the step-up group and 70% of patients with step-down group. The average medical costs were lower for patients in the step-up group (€228 vs €245; $P = 0.0008$) mainly because of the cost of medication. The rate of adverse effects was the same in both groups and were generally mild. The authors suggest that treatment success is similar in both groups but the step-up strategy was more cost-effective for patients with new onset dyspeptic symptoms (van Marrewijk CJ, et al. *Lancet* 2009;373:215-225). An accompanying editorial suggests that the degree of cost differ-

ence between the two groups was overestimated because costs were based on brand name drugs and generics are now available. It further suggests that the study may not change practice in primary care as the author recommends a 4-8 week course of a proton pump inhibitor for patients with symptoms of the upper gastrointestinal tract with discontinuation of treatment if patients remain asymptomatic (van Zanten SV. *Lancet* 2009;373:187-189).

Pain, fatigue, mood, sleep and fibromyalgia

Tricyclics work better than other antidepressants for the treatment of fibromyalgia according to new study from Germany. In a meta-analysis of 18 randomized controlled trials of antidepressants for the treatment of fibromyalgia, researchers reviewed studies utilizing tricyclic and tetracyclic antidepressants (TCAs), selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), and monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAO). All antidepressants were associated with a reduction in pain, fatigue, depressed mood, and sleep disturbances. Pain reduction was particularly good for tricyclic antidepressants, while MAO inhibitors showed modest effect and SSRIs and SNRIs showed a small effect. TCAs were effective in low doses of 12.5-50 mg, far below the doses commonly employed to treat depression, and were very effective for reducing pain, fatigue, and sleep disturbance (*JAMA* 2009;301:198-209). Currently duloxetine (Cymbalta®), pregabalin (Lyrica®), and milnacipran (Savella™) are the only FDA-approved drugs for the treatment of fibromyalgia.

FDA Actions

The FDA is launching a program to improve the safety of imported drugs to the United States. The pilot program would allow manufacturers of drugs outside United States to apply for 1 of 100 certifications, which would require that companies have a secure supply chain for their product. Criteria would include holding an FDA-approved drug application, guaranteeing that active pharmaceutical ingredients would be imported only to make FDA-approved drugs, complying with Good Manufacturing Practices, and guaranteeing that their drug products use a secure supply chain. This program is in response to concerns about manufacturing processes outside the United States and the embargoing of several foreign manufactured drugs in the last year. ■